



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,520	10/01/1999	STEVEN J. HARRINGTON	XER-2-0279	6975

7590 02/26/2004

ALBERT P SHARPE III ESQ
FAY SHARPE FAGAN
MINNICH & MCKEE
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE 7TH FLOOR
CLEVELAND, OH 441142518

EXAMINER

AN, SHAWN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/410,520

Applicant(s)

HARRINGTON, STEVEN J.

Examiner

Shawn S An

Art Unit

2613

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shawn S An.

(3) Patrick Roche.

(2) Bob Sidoti.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Naimark et al (4,857,902) and Hay et al (4,238,828).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We have discussed the difference between the claims limitations and the prior arts as above. Specifically, the Applicant's representatives believe the claimed locating device or the proposed description of the locating device will overcome the prior art references. In the meantime, the Examiner will further analyze the prior art references and the Applicant's proposed amendment to be filed later.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



SHAWN S. AN
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature; if required